

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 266 348

CG 018 828

AUTHOR Shafranske, Edward P.; Malony, H. Newton
TITLE Psychologists' Religious and Spiritual Orientations
and Their Practice of Psychotherapy.
PUB DATE Aug 85
NOTE 27p.; Paper presented at the Annual Convention of the
American Psychological Association (93rd, Los
Angeles, CA, August 23-27, 1985).
PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) --
Speeches/Conference Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Beliefs; *Counseling Techniques; *Counselor
Attitudes; *Counselor Characteristics;
*Psychologists; *Religious Factors

ABSTRACT

The nature of clinical psychologists' religiosity, belief orientation, and practices in psychotherapy, including an assessment of ideology, non-doctrinal beliefs, attitudes toward clinical interventions, and a measure of dimensions of religiosity needs to be examined. To assess psychologists' religious and spiritual orientation in relation to their practice of psychotherapy, a 62-item questionnaire was sent to 68 California psychologists. Findings were recorded for ideology, affiliation with organized religion, dimensions of religiosity, and clinical practice and training. Several conclusions can be drawn from the survey. The results revealed that psychologists were distributed equally within three ideological frameworks. They appeared to address religious, spiritual, and ontological issues in their personal lives and to respect the function that religion serves in peoples' lives. In general, they did not affiliate with organized religion, although the majority reported having been raised in a religion and in general did not report this as being a negative experience. Professionally, psychologists dealt with religious and spiritual issues in psychotherapy and the majority felt personally competent to counsel clients regarding spirituality. The majority were in favor of including the psychology of religion in psychologists' education and training. (ABB)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

ED266348

**Psychologists' Religious and Spiritual Orientations
And Their Practice of Psychotherapy**

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

✓ This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.

□ Minor changes have been made to improve
reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-
ment do not necessarily represent official NIE
position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Edward P.
Shafranske

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Edward P. Shafranske
University of San Diego

H. Newton Malony
Fuller Theological Seminary
Graduate School of Psychology

A paper presented at the meeting of the American Psycho-
logical Association, Los Angeles, California, August 1985

CG018828

Religion, Spirituality and Psychotherapy
A Study of California Psychologists

Recent contributions have noted the relevance of examining spiritual and religious issues as approached by the profession of psychology and in particular in reference to the practice of psychotherapy. Bergin (1980) observed a growing disillusionment with a purely secular approach to psychological treatment and models of mental health. Quackenbos, Privette and Kleintz (1985) surveyed the opinions of lay people and found that 79% believed that religious values were an important topic to be discussed in counseling. They further found that the majority could distinguish between religious and secular psychotherapy and that 35% expressed a preference for some form of religious counseling. Hillowe (1985) found that the religious beliefs of the therapist and the client affects predictions of therapeutic course and outcome. Other studies (Lewis, 1983; Wadsworth and Checketts, 1980) reported no significant biases respective of religious beliefs or affiliation.

Shafranske and Gorsuch (1985) reported data that indicated that psychologists perceived the relevance of spirituality in psychotherapy based on their personal beliefs rather than on the basis of their education and

clinical training. Their findings suggested that clinicians apprehend religious and spiritual issues in a highly personalistic manner and in a form that nomothetic and sociological measures of religiosity do not fully assess. It was suggested, in keeping with the critique of Yinger (1969), that psychologists as a group would be considered religious if religiosity was defined according to phenomenological rather than sociological descriptions and if religion was posited to be the response to existential questions and issues.

This study examined the nature of clinical psychologists' religiosity, belief orientations and practices in psychotherapy. This included an assessment of ideology, non-doctrinal beliefs, attitudes towards clinical interventions and a measure of dimensions of religiosity.

Method

A survey method was utilized in this research. The instrument consisted of a 62 item questionnaire which consisted: (1) demographics, (2) a belief orientation scale which included themes adapted from the Four Dimensions of Religiosity scale (Lehman, 1974) (3) extrinsic-means, intrinsic-ends and interactional-quest scales which

measure dimensions of religiosity, (4) a measure of non-doctrinal beliefs and (5) a scale assessing attitudes and practices regarding specific counseling interventions.

The sample consisted of 100 subjects randomly drawn from the 1983 roster of psychologists licensed by the Psychology Examining Committee of the State of California.

This sample was mailed individual invitations to participate in the study, the research instrument and a stamped, addressed, return envelope. A second follow up mailing and non-respondent bias check were completed to insure the representativeness of the findings.

Sixty eight responses were received. Five research packets were returned, four of which due to no forwarding address and one indicating that the psychologist was recently deceased. The response rate was 72% of the sample. Fifty questionnaires and eighteen non-participant forms were received. The following reasons were expressed for not completing the questionnaire: lack of time (5 responses), no interest in the study (6), Indicated that they were not clinicians and disqualified themselves from participation (4), other reasons or no response (3). A random sample of clinicians who did not respond was completed by telephone. Responses included: no time to complete, no interest, misplaced the materials, and did not receive the materials.

Participants and non-participants were asked to indicate whether spirituality was relevant in their personal and professional lives. The difference between the participant and non-participant groups in reported relevance in their personal lives was nonsignificant ($\chi^2 = .04415$ (1), $p < .8836$) and in their professional lives was nonsignificant ($\chi^2 = .22651$ (1), $p < .6341$). These findings suggest that the data were not significantly influenced by self selection factors in the respondent sample respective of their attitudes towards or involvement in religion or spirituality.

Results

The research sample was 68% male and 32% female; there was no significant difference between the sex ratio in the respondent and non-respondent groups ($\chi^2 = .1548$ (1), $p < .6939$). The mean age was 52 years. Table 1 presents the therapeutic orientations of the respondents.

---- Insert Table 1 About Here ----

Ideology

Approximately one third of the sample described their ideological orientations in each of the three general orientations: a belief in a Judeo-Christian, transcendent, personal God; a belief in a transcendent dimension which is found within all nature; and a belief that notions of God

are illusory products of human imagination which have value. No one endorsed the stance that notions of God or the transcendent are irrelevant. Table 2 presents the ideological positions of the respondents. Table 3 presents attitudes regarding the role of belief and doubt within the religious dimension.

---- Insert Table 2 About Here ----

---- Insert Table 3 About Here ----

Seventy one percent of the sample reported spirituality as personally relevant. If these findings are considered normative then they suggest that clinical psychologists appreciate religious questioning as a legitimate human activity and acknowledge some degree of personal questioning. The outcome of that questioning appears to be the development of a personal, individualistic spiritual orientation rather than in participation in organized religion. The process of religious or spiritual questioning appears to be as relevant as the attainment of particular beliefs.

Further, psychologists seem to acknowledge and respect the function religion serves in people's lives. Eighty five percent view the beliefs and practices as "valuable efforts to deal with the human situation," and only 8% agreed with the statement that "efforts to deal with the human situation

by religious means, whatever the content of the beliefs and practices, seems to be misplaced, a waste of time and resources."

Affiliation with Organized Religion

Approximately 96% reported to have been raised within a particular religion irregardless of the degree of involvement. This is in contrast to current affiliation with organized religion which is 49% irregardless of degree of involvement and 20% if the criteria includes regular participation. Less than one third of the respondents participate in the religion of their childhood. Table 4 presents the degree of affiliation in in organized religion.

---- Insert Table 4 About Here ----

These findings support past research which indicated that psychologists were relatively uninvolved in organized religion. (Ragan et al., 1980) Sixty one percent agreed with the statement: "My religion is a personal matter, independent of organized religion." Four percent agreed and 74% disagreed that participation in organized religion was the primary source of their spirituality. Forty five percent characterized their beliefs or practices as part of an "alternative spiritual path." Forty five percent disagreed that it is important to learn about religion or spirituality from others who know more about it. Their

relative eschewing of organized religion does not appear to be a response to negative experiences in their childhood or past. Forty nine percent reported that religion had a positive effect on their personal development; 13% reported feeling uncomfortable about the religious experiences in their past. Seventeen percent agreed that religion has had a negative effect in people's lives. The data indicates that the subjects tend to address issues of meaning, spirituality, or religion in a highly individualistic manner with little or no affiliation with organized religion and to some extent to other persons as well.

Dimensions of Religiosity

Batson and Ventis (1982) proposed a three-dimensional model of ways of being religious: extrinsic-means orientation, intrinsic-ends orientation and quest orientation. Utilizing Batson and Ventis' adaptation and expansion of Allport's Religious Orientation Scale, the data indicated that for 50% of the psychologists' their predominant religiosity would be assessed as an intrinsic ends orientation, 41% as quest orientation, and 9% as an extrinsic means orientation. Batson and Ventis (1982) cautioned against utilizing the scales as a means of

typology; rather, they advocated their use as descriptors of the end, means and quest dimensions.

Psychologists appear to approach religion in terms of answering personal questions of meaning rather than in affiliation with institutional religious systems. These findings support the hypothesis that psychologists approach ontological issues in a highly individualistic manner with relatively little association with organized religion. (Shafranske & Gorsuch, 1985) Batson and Prince (1983) suggested that Allport's original concept of mature religion included such a critical, open-ended approach to existential concerns as well as affiliation in an organized religious system. It appears that psychologists' interests in religious and spiritual matters take the predominant form of private, critical inquiry and that this personalistic approach limits the influence of institutional religion and the need for dialogue with others.

Studies of the general population indicate that psychologists are not alone in their disaffection with organized religion. A study by the Princeton Religion Research Center (1978) reported that 41% of all Americans do not belong to an organized religion. Another study indicated that reasons for remaining unaffiliated included a desire for a deeper spiritual meaning than religion offered them and that 12% were involved in an alternative spiritual path. (American Institute of Public Opinion, 1978)

An analysis of religious affiliation by therapeutic orientation was statistically nonsignificant due to the small numbers in many of the categories. Age was positively correlated with the intrinsic-ends dimension of religiosity ($r = .3919 (47)$, $p < .003$). This offers support to the Jungian assertion that as people age they come to address and to resolve in a more personal way, through the process of individuation, questions of meaning and relatedness to a transcendent dimension. (Jung, 1958)

This study does not support the contention that psychologists are anti-religious but rather suggests an appreciation of religious and spiritual concerns. The majority of clinical psychologists indicate an involvement in a personal spirituality which is privately and individually expressed.

Clinical Practice and Training

The data suggests that psychologists, in general, view spirituality and religion as relevant in their work as clinicians. Sixty five percent disagreed with the statement: "My religious or spiritual orientation does not affect or influence my work as a psychotherapist." Eighty three percent reported that their personal beliefs have helped them to be an effective therapist. Forty seven percent reported that their work as a psychologist has strengthened their interest in religion or spirituality. Seventy eight

percent disagreed that clinicians should refrain from dealing with religious or spiritual issues in psychotherapy. Fifty three percent consider themselves personally competent to counsel clients regarding matters of spirituality; 30% do not. Table 5 presents the results of a stepwise multiple regression procedure that indicate that the quest dimension significantly contributed to this perception of confidence. This suggests that the more one sees value in religious or spiritual questioning the more one will perceive that questioning as relevant in psychotherapy. Clinicians who are personally engaged in religion as an open-ended process of questioning experience confidence in addressing spiritual concerns in like manner in psychotherapy. Table 6 presents the extent to which psychologists endorse the use of interventions of a religious or spiritual character.

---- Insert Tables 5 and 6 About Here ----

This data suggests a divergence of opinion within the profession regarding the inclusion of interventions which are of a religious nature. The inclusion of "religious" interventions correlated positively with scores on the quest dimension ($r = .6006$ (47), $p < .0001$) and the intrinsic dimension ($r = .3738$ (47), $p < .004$). Tables 7 and 8 present analyses which suggest that the quest dimension of religiosity contributes significantly to the appreciation of

religious or spiritual issues in psychotherapy and the utilization of interventions of a religious nature.

---- Insert Tables 7 and 8 About Here ----

These findings suggests that clinicians who view spirituality from a quest perspective, i.e., an open-ended questioning of existential concerns, or from an intrinsic-ends orientation, i.e., the appreciation and attainment of a specific belief system, are most apt to perceive and approach spiritual issues in their professional practice and utilize interventions of a religious or spiritual character. It appears that as the intervention becomes more specifically "religious" in practice the use or acceptance decreases. An analysis of the relationship of therapeutic orientation on inclusion of religious interventions was precluded due to small numbers in each of the cells. It may be concluded that psychologists do not limit the discussion of spiritual or religious issues in psychotherapy and to a greater or lesser degree facilitate the discussion of such issues through a broad range of interventions which are derived from religious or spiritual perspectives.

In light of psychologists' inclusion of religious and spiritual issues in psychotherapy it was important to assess the nature of their training respective of this area.

Eighty one percent reported that spiritual or religious issues were rarely or never presented or discussed in the course of their education or clinical training. This supports previous research which indicated little or no training. (Shafranske & Gorsuch, 1985) Eighty five percent reported, however, that they would feel comfortable discussing such an issue within clinical supervision. A positive correlation was found between experienced competence and training ($r = .3236$ (47), $p < .01$) and supervision ($r = .3318$ (47), $p < .01$). Religious or spiritual development is seen by 74% to lie within the scope of the field of psychology and 70% support the view that psychologists should be exposed to the psychology of religion during the course of their training and education; 11% disagreed.

Conclusions

The following conclusions may be derived from this survey of psychologists attitudes and practices:

1. Psychologists are distributed equally within one of three ideological frameworks: a personal, transcendent God orientation; an orientation that affirms a transcendent

dimension in all nature; and a position that states that all ideologies are illusion although they have value;

2. Psychologists address religious, spiritual and ontological issues in their personal lives and they appear to respect the function religion serves in peoples' lives.

3. Psychologists, in general, do not affiliate with organized religion although the majority report having been raised in a religion and in general do not report this as being a negative experience. The forms of religiousness might be characterized as an open-ended, critical questioning of existential concerns and, for some, as an attainment of a specific belief system which has personal meaning;

4. Psychologists deal with religious and spiritual issues in psychotherapy; the majority feel personally competent to counsel clients regarding spirituality. Psychologists endorse interventions of a religious nature depending on the specific quality of the intervention;

5. Very limited training occurs respective of religious and spiritual issues; however, clinicians feel comfortable discussing such issues in clinical supervision. The majority are in favor of the inclusion of the psychology of religion in the education and training of a psychologist.

REFERENCES

- American Institute of Public Opinion (1978) "Religion in America, 1977-78" Gallup Opinion Index, Princeton, New Jersey.
- Batson, C.D. and Raynor-Prince, L. (1983) "Religious Orientation and Complexity of Thought About Existential Concerns" Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion, 22(1), 38-50.
- Batson, C.D. and Ventis, W.L. (1982) The Religious Experience: A Social-Psychological Perspective, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Bergin, A.E. (1980) "Psychotherapy and Religious Values" Journal of Clinical and Consulting Psychology, 48, 95-105.
- Hillowe, B.V. (1985) The effect of religiosity of therapist and patient on clinical judgment. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, The Institute for Advanced Psychological Studies, Adelphi University.
- Jung, C.G. (1958) The Undiscovered Self. Boston: Little, Brown and Company.
- Lehman, E.L. (1974) "Academic Discipline and Faculty Religiosity" Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion, 13(2), 205-220.
- Lewis, K. (1983) The impact of religious affiliation on therapists' judgments of clients. Paper presented at the American Psychological Association Convention, August, Anaheim, California.
- Princeton Religion Research Center (1978) The Unchurched American. Princeton, New Jersey.
- Quackenbos, S., Privette, G. & Kleintz, B. (1985) "Psychotherapy: Sacred or Secular" Journal of Counseling and Development, 63, 290-293.

- Ragan, C., Malony, H.N., & Beit-Hallahmi, B. (1980)
"Psychologists and religion: professional factors
associated with personal belief" Review of Religious
Research, 21(2), 208-217.
- Shafranske, E. & Gorsuch, R. (1985) "Factors Associated with
the Perception of Spirituality in Psychotherapy"
Journal of Transpersonal Psychology, 16, 231-241.
- Wadsworth, R. & Checketts, K. (1980) "Influence of
Religious Affiliation on Psychodiagnostics" Journal of
Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 48, 234-240.
- Yinger, J. (1969) "A Structural Examination of Religion"
Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion, 8, 86-99.

Table 1
Therapeutic Orientation

<u>Orientation</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>% of Respondents</u>
Psychoanalytic	14	30
Eclectic	9	19
Behavioral-Cognitive	4	9
Jungian	4	9
Existential-Humanistic	3	6
Interpersonal	2	4
Feminist	1	2
Field Theory	1	2
Insight	1	2
Multimodal	1	2
Rational-Emotive	1	2
TA-Gestalt	1	2
Transpersonal	1	2
No Response	3	6

Table 2
Ideological Orientation of Psychologists

<u>Ideological Statement</u>	<u>Percent of Respondents</u>
There is a personal God of transcendent existence and power whose purposes will ultimately be worked out in history.	26
There is a transcendent aspect of human existence which some call God but who is not imminently involved in the events of the world and human history	6
There is a transcendent or divine dimension which is unique and specific to the human self.	6
There is a transcendent or divine dimension found in all manifestations of nature.	26
The notions of God or the transcendent are illusory products of human imagination; however, they are meaningful aspects of human existence.	34
The notions of God or the transcendent are illusory products of human imagination; therefore, they are irrelevant to the real world.	0

Table 3

Qualities of Religiousness of Psychologists

<u>Statement</u>	<u>Percent of Respondents</u>		
	<u>Agree</u>	<u>Neutral</u>	<u>Disagree</u>
It might be said that I value religious or spiritual doubts or uncertainties.	64	20	16
Questions are far more central to my religious or spiritual experience than are answers.	41	32	27
It is necessary for me to have religious beliefs.	49	6	44
Religion or spirituality is something that I have never felt personally compelled to consider.	17	2	80
My religion is a personal matter, independent of organized religion.	63	20	2
Participation in an organized religious body is the primary source of my spirituality.	4	22	74

Table 4

Psychologists' Affiliation and Participation
in Organized Religion

<u>Degree of Involvement</u>	<u>Percent of Respondents</u>
Active Participation, high level of involvement	9
Regular participation, some involvement	11
Identification with religion, very limited involvement	30
Identification with religion, no involvement	11
No identification or participation with religion	36
Disdain or negative reaction to religion	4

Table 5
 Stepwise Multiple Regression
 Factors Which Influence the Experience of
 Competence Regarding Spirituality

Variables in the Equation

Variable	B	SE B	Beta	T	Sig T
Q	.107056	.036428	.425239	2.939	.0054
AGE	-.004387	.012214	-.053700	-.359	.7213
E	-.076356	.042278	-.270536	-1.806	.0783
OREL	.148633	.137790	.180344	1.079	.2870
I	.032106	.027507	.234827	1.167	.2499
Constant	.922048	1.365176		.675	.5032

AGE - Age of Respondent
 OREL - Affiliation and Participation in
 Organized Religion
 E - Extrinsic Dimension
 I - Intrinsic Dimension
 Q - Quest or Interactional Dimension

Table 6
 Attitudes Towards the Use of
 Religious Interventions

<u>Intervention</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Neutral</u>	<u>No</u>
Refrain from dealing with religious/spiritual issues	15	6	78
Use religious language or metaphors	69	4	27
Know the religious backgrounds of your clients	43	19	38
Use religious scripture or texts	40	19	40
Pray with a client	21	13	66

Table 7

Stepwise Multiple Regression
Factors Which Influence Appreciation of
Religious and Spiritual Issues

Variables in the Equation

Variable	B	SE B	Beta	T	Sig T
Q	.373748	.147946	.355840	2.526	.0157
I	.183428	.111804	.325642	1.641	.1089
AGE	- .014577	.051458	- .042172	- .283	.7785
E	- .235474	.175692	- .199772	-1.340	.1879
OREL	.807717	.594830	.234263	1.358	.1823
Constant	17.957263	5.586342		3.214	.0026

Table 8

Stepwise Multiple Regression
Factors Which Influence Utilization of
Interventions of a Religious Nature

Variables in the Equation

Variable	B	SE B	Beta	T	Sig T
Q	.303762	.081376	.523181	3.733	.0006
AGE	- .012798	.027284	- .067928	- .469	.6415
E	- .052962	.094444	- .081366	- .561	.5780
OREL	- .083401	.307808	- .043879	- .271	.7878
I	.078417	.061449	.248692	1.276	.2091
Constant	6.496625	3.049667		2.130	.0392

Appendix A

Religious Affiliations of Psychologists

<u>Religion</u>	<u>Affiliation</u>			
	<u>Childhood</u>		<u>Present</u>	
	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
Jewish	12	26	7	15
Catholic	8	17	2	4
Protestant	7	15	2	4
Fundamentalist	3	6	-	-
Methodist	3	6	-	-
Baptist	2	4	-	-
Agnostic	2	4	-	-
Atheist	2	4	-	-
Christian	2	4	1	2
Christian Scientist	1	2	-	-
Congregational	1	2	-	-
Episcopal	1	2	-	-
No Response/None	2	4	24	51
Presbyterian	-	-	2	4
Unitarian	-	-	2	4
Eastern	-	-	1	2
Evangelical	-	-	1	2
Intl. New Thought Assoc.	-	-	1	2
Johannine Communion	-	-	1	2
Nazarene	-	-	1	2
Quaker	-	-	1	2
Seventh Day Adventist	-	-	1	2